

It looks very much as though it would be entirely unnecessary to settle any contested election in Mr. McKinley's favor, as by conservative figures he already seems to have enough votes to nominate him on the first ballot, and by the time all the state reports are in will have a large majority to his credit.

THE HERALD does not presume that its opinion will nominate McKinley, nor that a lot of political slush would convince anybody with common sense, but if indications in the east are worth anything Mr. McKinley will be nominated at St. Louis on the first ballot.

Under one pretext or another Gen. Weyler is burling innocent men, women and children in Cuba. Just how long a Cleveland administration will sympathize with Gen. Weyler in this practice is as uncertain as was the Cleveland sympathy with the Kansas Queen.

MAJOR LEWELLING has returned to New Mexico temporarily but will probably return to this city to push the examination into the exposure of a private telegram which he had sent from the telegraph office here but which in some illegitimate manner for which the operators are in no way responsible, was given out to the public. He proposes to see how it got out.

GEN. LONGSTREET, one of the bravest and dearest of the commanders of the Confederate armies in war times, delivered an eloquent and pithy address on the anniversary of Gen. Grant's birthday, in the city of Boston a few days since. Think of it. And yet there are a lot of people, north and south, who don't seem to know that the civil war is over. They are not people of Gen. Longstreet's caliber, however.

PHOENIX will indulge in a city election in about a month, on the first Tuesday in June. At that election it will now be necessary to choose a mayor, a councilman from the Second ward and an assessor and tax collector, all responsible places to be filled. In addition to the election of these officers we will be called upon to vote on the matter of the issuance of about \$50,000 of city bonds to take up bonded indebtedness due and outstanding warrants.

THE Senate is now working under an understanding that nothing important shall be taken up until all of the appropriation bills are disposed of, and some think that adjournment is probable on or about May 15, the date suggested at a recent conference of Republican Senators, but everybody recognizes that there can be nothing certain about it until a joint resolution naming the day for adjournment has been actually adopted by the Senate. The House is all right and will it be reported promptly free to any date set by the Senate, and will wait for the Senate to take the initiative.

THE session of the National Republican Convention at St. Louis is approaching rapidly. It will be an occasion of most extraordinary interest to the nation inasmuch as the nominee of the Republican party at that time will be the nominee of the people of the nation, who have fully determined that the attempts to lead them from the paths of prosperity by means of the free trade heresies, and the incompetency of the advocates of those heresies when in power, shall no longer prevail. Four years of business disaster has demonstrated most completely to every not totally prejudiced man that there is something radically wrong; more than that those four years have demonstrated to the people just what is wrong, its cause and remedy, more than any other period of government in the history of the United States. The action of the people will be positive and unwavering as this knowledge is definite.

This idea that the Raines' excise law, passed by the New York Republican legislature, was going to injure the Republican party of that state is no longer held even by Democrats, on the contrary it is going to strengthen the Republicans. Here is the opinion of Dr. C. W. Meade, for some years a police judge in New York City: "At first it looked as though the Raines' law would be so distasteful to the public that the Republican party would be made to suffer for its enactment. But there has been a great change of public sentiment, the people are beginning to realize the many good points of the law, and the Republicans will reap the benefit from it instead of disaster. It is about as good a statute as the temperance of men could contrive; it keeps out the drink where the poorer classes live and equalizes their earnings; it puts the business of selling liquor on the best possible plan by putting it in the hands of responsible men, and it does away with the corruption and blackmail of the old regime."

It is said that Mark Smith is likely to be the Democratic candidate for Congress when once he is fully convinced that Oakes Murphy will not be the Republican candidate.

Our friend, M. H. Reno, Chairman of the Populist Territorial Central Committee, in the last issue of his party sheet uses up four columns of valuable space, quotes a fine selection of figures, which must have required a whole lot of brain work, and which is supposed to indicate the gain or loss of property in the various states and territories, and finally boils the whole discussion down to five lines after he announces that less than half the assessed property valuation in the Territory is owned by residents, as follows: "Yet it is the owners of this half that makes the whole valuable, from whose labor comes the money to pay its taxes and to settle its existing and increasing indebtedness, and these five lines are a rank misstatement of the facts as any thinking man must at once pronounce it, who knows anything of our assessed property and where the taxes of the Territory come from. Of course resident taxpayers pay their proportion of the taxes but not all of them; the railroads, which he intimates are worth nothing to the Territory and pay no taxes, pay as much tax on the dollar of valuation as any other property in the Territory, and if they were 'with-drawn' from the Territory Mr. Reno would have to 'walk out' in ten seconds than one. Then he says, 'Take from it, too, the valuation of such mines as Jerome, as Bisbee, as Globe, as Clifton, owned by non-residents,' and yet Mr. Reno knows as well as any other man that the taxes those mines pay go far toward maintaining the expenses of these counties wherein they are located; he further knows, if he knows anything, that to take out the railroad taxes paid by the Southern Pacific, to some of the counties through which it runs, would be to bankrupt those counties and the same may be said of the Atlantic & Pacific. Take out these railroads and those mines and the people of the whole Territory would be well nigh bankrupt, for they are bringing into the Territory and distributing among our people every month, millions of dollars, and yet Mr. Reno sets up his Populist howl because they are 'owned' by eastern capital; by capital outside the Territory. Can Mr. Reno, will Mr. Reno, inform the people of Arizona when those railroads would be built, when those mines would be opened and made to yield their riches by capital owned in the Territory? It took more capital to build those two roads across Arizona when they were built than the assessed value of the whole Territory of Arizona at that time. The investment of that capital, the construction of those roads have made the Territory what it is, have made it possible for Mr. Reno to get enough to eat and clothe himself with in this Territory and give him a chance to talk sophist Populist. Or is all that done on 'foreign capital' against which he howls, capital he brought with him because he had railroads and copper mines and he thought he would invest it here at 2 per cent. per month interest?

Our friend, M. H. Reno, Chairman of the Populist Territorial Central Committee, in the last issue of his party sheet uses up four columns of valuable space, quotes a fine selection of figures, which must have required a whole lot of brain work, and which is supposed to indicate the gain or loss of property in the various states and territories, and finally boils the whole discussion down to five lines after he announces that less than half the assessed property valuation in the Territory is owned by residents, as follows: "Yet it is the owners of this half that makes the whole valuable, from whose labor comes the money to pay its taxes and to settle its existing and increasing indebtedness, and these five lines are a rank misstatement of the facts as any thinking man must at once pronounce it, who knows anything of our assessed property and where the taxes of the Territory come from. Of course resident taxpayers pay their proportion of the taxes but not all of them; the railroads, which he intimates are worth nothing to the Territory and pay no taxes, pay as much tax on the dollar of valuation as any other property in the Territory, and if they were 'with-drawn' from the Territory Mr. Reno would have to 'walk out' in ten seconds than one. Then he says, 'Take from it, too, the valuation of such mines as Jerome, as Bisbee, as Globe, as Clifton, owned by non-residents,' and yet Mr. Reno knows as well as any other man that the taxes those mines pay go far toward maintaining the expenses of these counties wherein they are located; he further knows, if he knows anything, that to take out the railroad taxes paid by the Southern Pacific, to some of the counties through which it runs, would be to bankrupt those counties and the same may be said of the Atlantic & Pacific. Take out these railroads and those mines and the people of the whole Territory would be well nigh bankrupt, for they are bringing into the Territory and distributing among our people every month, millions of dollars, and yet Mr. Reno sets up his Populist howl because they are 'owned' by eastern capital; by capital outside the Territory. Can Mr. Reno, will Mr. Reno, inform the people of Arizona when those railroads would be built, when those mines would be opened and made to yield their riches by capital owned in the Territory? It took more capital to build those two roads across Arizona when they were built than the assessed value of the whole Territory of Arizona at that time. The investment of that capital, the construction of those roads have made the Territory what it is, have made it possible for Mr. Reno to get enough to eat and clothe himself with in this Territory and give him a chance to talk sophist Populist. Or is all that done on 'foreign capital' against which he howls, capital he brought with him because he had railroads and copper mines and he thought he would invest it here at 2 per cent. per month interest?

Our friend, M. H. Reno, Chairman of the Populist Territorial Central Committee, in the last issue of his party sheet uses up four columns of valuable space, quotes a fine selection of figures, which must have required a whole lot of brain work, and which is supposed to indicate the gain or loss of property in the various states and territories, and finally boils the whole discussion down to five lines after he announces that less than half the assessed property valuation in the Territory is owned by residents, as follows: "Yet it is the owners of this half that makes the whole valuable, from whose labor comes the money to pay its taxes and to settle its existing and increasing indebtedness, and these five lines are a rank misstatement of the facts as any thinking man must at once pronounce it, who knows anything of our assessed property and where the taxes of the Territory come from. Of course resident taxpayers pay their proportion of the taxes but not all of them; the railroads, which he intimates are worth nothing to the Territory and pay no taxes, pay as much tax on the dollar of valuation as any other property in the Territory, and if they were 'with-drawn' from the Territory Mr. Reno would have to 'walk out' in ten seconds than one. Then he says, 'Take from it, too, the valuation of such mines as Jerome, as Bisbee, as Globe, as Clifton, owned by non-residents,' and yet Mr. Reno knows as well as any other man that the taxes those mines pay go far toward maintaining the expenses of these counties wherein they are located; he further knows, if he knows anything, that to take out the railroad taxes paid by the Southern Pacific, to some of the counties through which it runs, would be to bankrupt those counties and the same may be said of the Atlantic & Pacific. Take out these railroads and those mines and the people of the whole Territory would be well nigh bankrupt, for they are bringing into the Territory and distributing among our people every month, millions of dollars, and yet Mr. Reno sets up his Populist howl because they are 'owned' by eastern capital; by capital outside the Territory. Can Mr. Reno, will Mr. Reno, inform the people of Arizona when those railroads would be built, when those mines would be opened and made to yield their riches by capital owned in the Territory? It took more capital to build those two roads across Arizona when they were built than the assessed value of the whole Territory of Arizona at that time. The investment of that capital, the construction of those roads have made the Territory what it is, have made it possible for Mr. Reno to get enough to eat and clothe himself with in this Territory and give him a chance to talk sophist Populist. Or is all that done on 'foreign capital' against which he howls, capital he brought with him because he had railroads and copper mines and he thought he would invest it here at 2 per cent. per month interest?

Our friend, M. H. Reno, Chairman of the Populist Territorial Central Committee, in the last issue of his party sheet uses up four columns of valuable space, quotes a fine selection of figures, which must have required a whole lot of brain work, and which is supposed to indicate the gain or loss of property in the various states and territories, and finally boils the whole discussion down to five lines after he announces that less than half the assessed property valuation in the Territory is owned by residents, as follows: "Yet it is the owners of this half that makes the whole valuable, from whose labor comes the money to pay its taxes and to settle its existing and increasing indebtedness, and these five lines are a rank misstatement of the facts as any thinking man must at once pronounce it, who knows anything of our assessed property and where the taxes of the Territory come from. Of course resident taxpayers pay their proportion of the taxes but not all of them; the railroads, which he intimates are worth nothing to the Territory and pay no taxes, pay as much tax on the dollar of valuation as any other property in the Territory, and if they were 'with-drawn' from the Territory Mr. Reno would have to 'walk out' in ten seconds than one. Then he says, 'Take from it, too, the valuation of such mines as Jerome, as Bisbee, as Globe, as Clifton, owned by non-residents,' and yet Mr. Reno knows as well as any other man that the taxes those mines pay go far toward maintaining the expenses of these counties wherein they are located; he further knows, if he knows anything, that to take out the railroad taxes paid by the Southern Pacific, to some of the counties through which it runs, would be to bankrupt those counties and the same may be said of the Atlantic & Pacific. Take out these railroads and those mines and the people of the whole Territory would be well nigh bankrupt, for they are bringing into the Territory and distributing among our people every month, millions of dollars, and yet Mr. Reno sets up his Populist howl because they are 'owned' by eastern capital; by capital outside the Territory. Can Mr. Reno, will Mr. Reno, inform the people of Arizona when those railroads would be built, when those mines would be opened and made to yield their riches by capital owned in the Territory? It took more capital to build those two roads across Arizona when they were built than the assessed value of the whole Territory of Arizona at that time. The investment of that capital, the construction of those roads have made the Territory what it is, have made it possible for Mr. Reno to get enough to eat and clothe himself with in this Territory and give him a chance to talk sophist Populist. Or is all that done on 'foreign capital' against which he howls, capital he brought with him because he had railroads and copper mines and he thought he would invest it here at 2 per cent. per month interest?

Our friend, M. H. Reno, Chairman of the Populist Territorial Central Committee, in the last issue of his party sheet uses up four columns of valuable space, quotes a fine selection of figures, which must have required a whole lot of brain work, and which is supposed to indicate the gain or loss of property in the various states and territories, and finally boils the whole discussion down to five lines after he announces that less than half the assessed property valuation in the Territory is owned by residents, as follows: "Yet it is the owners of this half that makes the whole valuable, from whose labor comes the money to pay its taxes and to settle its existing and increasing indebtedness, and these five lines are a rank misstatement of the facts as any thinking man must at once pronounce it, who knows anything of our assessed property and where the taxes of the Territory come from. Of course resident taxpayers pay their proportion of the taxes but not all of them; the railroads, which he intimates are worth nothing to the Territory and pay no taxes, pay as much tax on the dollar of valuation as any other property in the Territory, and if they were 'with-drawn' from the Territory Mr. Reno would have to 'walk out' in ten seconds than one. Then he says, 'Take from it, too, the valuation of such mines as Jerome, as Bisbee, as Globe, as Clifton, owned by non-residents,' and yet Mr. Reno knows as well as any other man that the taxes those mines pay go far toward maintaining the expenses of these counties wherein they are located; he further knows, if he knows anything, that to take out the railroad taxes paid by the Southern Pacific, to some of the counties through which it runs, would be to bankrupt those counties and the same may be said of the Atlantic & Pacific. Take out these railroads and those mines and the people of the whole Territory would be well nigh bankrupt, for they are bringing into the Territory and distributing among our people every month, millions of dollars, and yet Mr. Reno sets up his Populist howl because they are 'owned' by eastern capital; by capital outside the Territory. Can Mr. Reno, will Mr. Reno, inform the people of Arizona when those railroads would be built, when those mines would be opened and made to yield their riches by capital owned in the Territory? It took more capital to build those two roads across Arizona when they were built than the assessed value of the whole Territory of Arizona at that time. The investment of that capital, the construction of those roads have made the Territory what it is, have made it possible for Mr. Reno to get enough to eat and clothe himself with in this Territory and give him a chance to talk sophist Populist. Or is all that done on 'foreign capital' against which he howls, capital he brought with him because he had railroads and copper mines and he thought he would invest it here at 2 per cent. per month interest?

Our friend, M. H. Reno, Chairman of the Populist Territorial Central Committee, in the last issue of his party sheet uses up four columns of valuable space, quotes a fine selection of figures, which must have required a whole lot of brain work, and which is supposed to indicate the gain or loss of property in the various states and territories, and finally boils the whole discussion down to five lines after he announces that less than half the assessed property valuation in the Territory is owned by residents, as follows: "Yet it is the owners of this half that makes the whole valuable, from whose labor comes the money to pay its taxes and to settle its existing and increasing indebtedness, and these five lines are a rank misstatement of the facts as any thinking man must at once pronounce it, who knows anything of our assessed property and where the taxes of the Territory come from. Of course resident taxpayers pay their proportion of the taxes but not all of them; the railroads, which he intimates are worth nothing to the Territory and pay no taxes, pay as much tax on the dollar of valuation as any other property in the Territory, and if they were 'with-drawn' from the Territory Mr. Reno would have to 'walk out' in ten seconds than one. Then he says, 'Take from it, too, the valuation of such mines as Jerome, as Bisbee, as Globe, as Clifton, owned by non-residents,' and yet Mr. Reno knows as well as any other man that the taxes those mines pay go far toward maintaining the expenses of these counties wherein they are located; he further knows, if he knows anything, that to take out the railroad taxes paid by the Southern Pacific, to some of the counties through which it runs, would be to bankrupt those counties and the same may be said of the Atlantic & Pacific. Take out these railroads and those mines and the people of the whole Territory would be well nigh bankrupt, for they are bringing into the Territory and distributing among our people every month, millions of dollars, and yet Mr. Reno sets up his Populist howl because they are 'owned' by eastern capital; by capital outside the Territory. Can Mr. Reno, will Mr. Reno, inform the people of Arizona when those railroads would be built, when those mines would be opened and made to yield their riches by capital owned in the Territory? It took more capital to build those two roads across Arizona when they were built than the assessed value of the whole Territory of Arizona at that time. The investment of that capital, the construction of those roads have made the Territory what it is, have made it possible for Mr. Reno to get enough to eat and clothe himself with in this Territory and give him a chance to talk sophist Populist. Or is all that done on 'foreign capital' against which he howls, capital he brought with him because he had railroads and copper mines and he thought he would invest it here at 2 per cent. per month interest?

Our friend, M. H. Reno, Chairman of the Populist Territorial Central Committee, in the last issue of his party sheet uses up four columns of valuable space, quotes a fine selection of figures, which must have required a whole lot of brain work, and which is supposed to indicate the gain or loss of property in the various states and territories, and finally boils the whole discussion down to five lines after he announces that less than half the assessed property valuation in the Territory is owned by residents, as follows: "Yet it is the owners of this half that makes the whole valuable, from whose labor comes the money to pay its taxes and to settle its existing and increasing indebtedness, and these five lines are a rank misstatement of the facts as any thinking man must at once pronounce it, who knows anything of our assessed property and where the taxes of the Territory come from. Of course resident taxpayers pay their proportion of the taxes but not all of them; the railroads, which he intimates are worth nothing to the Territory and pay no taxes, pay as much tax on the dollar of valuation as any other property in the Territory, and if they were 'with-drawn' from the Territory Mr. Reno would have to 'walk out' in ten seconds than one. Then he says, 'Take from it, too, the valuation of such mines as Jerome, as Bisbee, as Globe, as Clifton, owned by non-residents,' and yet Mr. Reno knows as well as any other man that the taxes those mines pay go far toward maintaining the expenses of these counties wherein they are located; he further knows, if he knows anything, that to take out the railroad taxes paid by the Southern Pacific, to some of the counties through which it runs, would be to bankrupt those counties and the same may be said of the Atlantic & Pacific. Take out these railroads and those mines and the people of the whole Territory would be well nigh bankrupt, for they are bringing into the Territory and distributing among our people every month, millions of dollars, and yet Mr. Reno sets up his Populist howl because they are 'owned' by eastern capital; by capital outside the Territory. Can Mr. Reno, will Mr. Reno, inform the people of Arizona when those railroads would be built, when those mines would be opened and made to yield their riches by capital owned in the Territory? It took more capital to build those two roads across Arizona when they were built than the assessed value of the whole Territory of Arizona at that time. The investment of that capital, the construction of those roads have made the Territory what it is, have made it possible for Mr. Reno to get enough to eat and clothe himself with in this Territory and give him a chance to talk sophist Populist. Or is all that done on 'foreign capital' against which he howls, capital he brought with him because he had railroads and copper mines and he thought he would invest it here at 2 per cent. per month interest?

Our friend, M. H. Reno, Chairman of the Populist Territorial Central Committee, in the last issue of his party sheet uses up four columns of valuable space, quotes a fine selection of figures, which must have required a whole lot of brain work, and which is supposed to indicate the gain or loss of property in the various states and territories, and finally boils the whole discussion down to five lines after he announces that less than half the assessed property valuation in the Territory is owned by residents, as follows: "Yet it is the owners of this half that makes the whole valuable, from whose labor comes the money to pay its taxes and to settle its existing and increasing indebtedness, and these five lines are a rank misstatement of the facts as any thinking man must at once pronounce it, who knows anything of our assessed property and where the taxes of the Territory come from. Of course resident taxpayers pay their proportion of the taxes but not all of them; the railroads, which he intimates are worth nothing to the Territory and pay no taxes, pay as much tax on the dollar of valuation as any other property in the Territory, and if they were 'with-drawn' from the Territory Mr. Reno would have to 'walk out' in ten seconds than one. Then he says, 'Take from it, too, the valuation of such mines as Jerome, as Bisbee, as Globe, as Clifton, owned by non-residents,' and yet Mr. Reno knows as well as any other man that the taxes those mines pay go far toward maintaining the expenses of these counties wherein they are located; he further knows, if he knows anything, that to take out the railroad taxes paid by the Southern Pacific, to some of the counties through which it runs, would be to bankrupt those counties and the same may be said of the Atlantic & Pacific. Take out these railroads and those mines and the people of the whole Territory would be well nigh bankrupt, for they are bringing into the Territory and distributing among our people every month, millions of dollars, and yet Mr. Reno sets up his Populist howl because they are 'owned' by eastern capital; by capital outside the Territory. Can Mr. Reno, will Mr. Reno, inform the people of Arizona when those railroads would be built, when those mines would be opened and made to yield their riches by capital owned in the Territory? It took more capital to build those two roads across Arizona when they were built than the assessed value of the whole Territory of Arizona at that time. The investment of that capital, the construction of those roads have made the Territory what it is, have made it possible for Mr. Reno to get enough to eat and clothe himself with in this Territory and give him a chance to talk sophist Populist. Or is all that done on 'foreign capital' against which he howls, capital he brought with him because he had railroads and copper mines and he thought he would invest it here at 2 per cent. per month interest?

Our friend, M. H. Reno, Chairman of the Populist Territorial Central Committee, in the last issue of his party sheet uses up four columns of valuable space, quotes a fine selection of figures, which must have required a whole lot of brain work, and which is supposed to indicate the gain or loss of property in the various states and territories, and finally boils the whole discussion down to five lines after he announces that less than half the assessed property valuation in the Territory is owned by residents, as follows: "Yet it is the owners of this half that makes the whole valuable, from whose labor comes the money to pay its taxes and to settle its existing and increasing indebtedness, and these five lines are a rank misstatement of the facts as any thinking man must at once pronounce it, who knows anything of our assessed property and where the taxes of the Territory come from. Of course resident taxpayers pay their proportion of the taxes but not all of them; the railroads, which he intimates are worth nothing to the Territory and pay no taxes, pay as much tax on the dollar of valuation as any other property in the Territory, and if they were 'with-drawn' from the Territory Mr. Reno would have to 'walk out' in ten seconds than one. Then he says, 'Take from it, too, the valuation of such mines as Jerome, as Bisbee, as Globe, as Clifton, owned by non-residents,' and yet Mr. Reno knows as well as any other man that the taxes those mines pay go far toward maintaining the expenses of these counties wherein they are located; he further knows, if he knows anything, that to take out the railroad taxes paid by the Southern Pacific, to some of the counties through which it runs, would be to bankrupt those counties and the same may be said of the Atlantic & Pacific. Take out these railroads and those mines and the people of the whole Territory would be well nigh bankrupt, for they are bringing into the Territory and distributing among our people every month, millions of dollars, and yet Mr. Reno sets up his Populist howl because they are 'owned' by eastern capital; by capital outside the Territory. Can Mr. Reno, will Mr. Reno, inform the people of Arizona when those railroads would be built, when those mines would be opened and made to yield their riches by capital owned in the Territory? It took more capital to build those two roads across Arizona when they were built than the assessed value of the whole Territory of Arizona at that time. The investment of that capital, the construction of those roads have made the Territory what it is, have made it possible for Mr. Reno to get enough to eat and clothe himself with in this Territory and give him a chance to talk sophist Populist. Or is all that done on 'foreign capital' against which he howls, capital he brought with him because he had railroads and copper mines and he thought he would invest it here at 2 per cent. per month interest?

Our friend, M. H. Reno, Chairman of the Populist Territorial Central Committee, in the last issue of his party sheet uses up four columns of valuable space, quotes a fine selection of figures, which must have required a whole lot of brain work, and which is supposed to indicate the gain or loss of property in the various states and territories, and finally boils the whole discussion down to five lines after he announces that less than half the assessed property valuation in the Territory is owned by residents, as follows: "Yet it is the owners of this half that makes the whole valuable, from whose labor comes the money to pay its taxes and to settle its existing and increasing indebtedness, and these five lines are a rank misstatement of the facts as any thinking man must at once pronounce it, who knows anything of our assessed property and where the taxes of the Territory come from. Of course resident taxpayers pay their proportion of the taxes but not all of them; the railroads, which he intimates are worth nothing to the Territory and pay no taxes, pay as much tax on the dollar of valuation as any other property in the Territory, and if they were 'with-drawn' from the Territory Mr. Reno would have to 'walk out' in ten seconds than one. Then he says, 'Take from it, too, the valuation of such mines as Jerome, as Bisbee, as Globe, as Clifton, owned by non-residents,' and yet Mr. Reno knows as well as any other man that the taxes those mines pay go far toward maintaining the expenses of these counties wherein they are located; he further knows, if he knows anything, that to take out the railroad taxes paid by the Southern Pacific, to some of the counties through which it runs, would be to bankrupt those counties and the same may be said of the Atlantic & Pacific. Take out these railroads and those mines and the people of the whole Territory would be well nigh bankrupt, for they are bringing into the Territory and distributing among our people every month, millions of dollars, and yet Mr. Reno sets up his Populist howl because they are 'owned' by eastern capital; by capital outside the Territory. Can Mr. Reno, will Mr. Reno, inform the people of Arizona when those railroads would be built, when those mines would be opened and made to yield their riches by capital owned in the Territory? It took more capital to build those two roads across Arizona when they were built than the assessed value of the whole Territory of Arizona at that time. The investment of that capital, the construction of those roads have made the Territory what it is, have made it possible for Mr. Reno to get enough to eat and clothe himself with in this Territory and give him a chance to talk sophist Populist. Or is all that done on 'foreign capital' against which he howls, capital he brought with him because he had railroads and copper mines and he thought he would invest it here at 2 per cent. per month interest?

Our friend, M. H. Reno, Chairman of the Populist Territorial Central Committee, in the last issue of his party sheet uses up four columns of valuable space, quotes a fine selection of figures, which must have required a whole lot of brain work, and which is supposed to indicate the gain or loss of property in the various states and territories, and finally boils the whole discussion down to five lines after he announces that less than half the assessed property valuation in the Territory is owned by residents, as follows: "Yet it is the owners of this half that makes the whole valuable, from whose labor comes the money to pay its taxes and to settle its existing and increasing indebtedness, and these five lines are a rank misstatement of the facts as any thinking man must at once pronounce it, who knows anything of our assessed property and where the taxes of the Territory come from. Of course resident taxpayers pay their proportion of the taxes but not all of them; the railroads, which he intimates are worth nothing to the Territory and pay no taxes, pay as much tax on the dollar of valuation as any other property in the Territory, and if they were 'with-drawn' from the Territory Mr. Reno would have to 'walk out' in ten seconds than one. Then he says, 'Take from it, too, the valuation of such mines as Jerome, as Bisbee, as Globe, as Clifton, owned by non-residents,' and yet Mr. Reno knows as well as any other man that the taxes those mines pay go far toward maintaining the expenses of these counties wherein they are located; he further knows, if he knows anything, that to take out the railroad taxes paid by the Southern Pacific, to some of the counties through which it runs, would be to bankrupt those counties and the same may be said of the Atlantic & Pacific. Take out these railroads and those mines and the people of the whole Territory would be well nigh bankrupt, for they are bringing into the Territory and distributing among our people every month, millions of dollars, and yet Mr. Reno sets up his Populist howl because they are 'owned' by eastern capital; by capital outside the Territory. Can Mr. Reno, will Mr. Reno, inform the people of Arizona when those railroads would be built, when those mines would be opened and made to yield their riches by capital owned in the Territory? It took more capital to build those two roads across Arizona when they were built than the assessed value of the whole Territory of Arizona at that time. The investment of that capital, the construction of those roads have made the Territory what it is, have made it possible for Mr. Reno to get enough to eat and clothe himself with in this Territory and give him a chance to talk sophist Populist. Or is all that done on 'foreign capital' against which he howls, capital he brought with him because he had railroads and copper mines and he thought he would invest it here at 2 per cent. per month interest?

Our friend, M. H. Reno, Chairman of the Populist Territorial Central Committee, in the last issue of his party sheet uses up four columns of valuable space, quotes a fine selection of figures, which must have required a whole lot of brain work, and which is supposed to indicate the gain or loss of property in the various states and territories, and finally boils the whole discussion down to five lines after he announces that less than half the assessed property valuation in the Territory is owned by residents, as follows: "Yet it is the owners of this half that makes the whole valuable, from whose labor comes the money to pay its taxes and to settle its existing and increasing indebtedness, and these five lines are a rank misstatement of the facts as any thinking man must at once pronounce it, who knows anything of our assessed property and where the taxes of the Territory come from. Of course resident taxpayers pay their proportion of the taxes but not all of them; the railroads, which he intimates are worth nothing to the Territory and pay no taxes, pay as much tax on the dollar of valuation as any other property in the Territory, and if they were 'with-drawn' from the Territory Mr. Reno would have to 'walk out' in ten seconds than one. Then he says, 'Take from it, too, the valuation of such mines as Jerome, as Bisbee, as Globe, as Clifton, owned by non-residents,' and yet Mr. Reno knows as well as any other man that the taxes those mines pay go far toward maintaining the expenses of these counties wherein they are located; he further knows, if he knows anything, that to take out the railroad taxes paid by the Southern Pacific, to some of the counties through which it runs, would be to bankrupt those counties and the same may be said of the Atlantic & Pacific. Take out these railroads and those mines and the people of the whole Territory would be well nigh bankrupt, for they are bringing into the Territory and distributing among our people every month, millions of dollars, and yet Mr. Reno sets up his Populist howl because they are 'owned' by eastern capital; by capital outside the Territory. Can Mr. Reno, will Mr. Reno, inform the people of Arizona when those railroads would be built, when those mines would be opened and made to yield their riches by capital owned in the Territory? It took more capital to build those two roads across Arizona when they were built than the assessed value of the whole Territory of Arizona at that time. The investment of that capital, the construction of those roads have made the Territory what it is, have made it possible for Mr. Reno to get enough to eat and clothe himself with in this Territory and give him a chance to talk sophist Populist. Or is all that done on 'foreign capital' against which he howls, capital he brought with him because he had railroads and copper mines and he thought he would invest it here at 2 per cent. per month interest?

## THE SUPREME COURT.

The Supreme Court of the Territory met this morning, a full bench being present.

The session was devoted almost entirely to the handing down of decisions after which an adjournment was taken until tomorrow morning at ten o'clock when it is expected other decisions will be handed down.

Chas. O. Wagner, appellant, vs. The Territory of Arizona, respondent; decision of lower court affirmed.

J. O. Danbar, appellant, vs. Territory of Arizona, respondent; decision of lower court reversed.

Lawrence M. Lyman, appellant, vs. Territory of Arizona, respondent; decision of lower court affirmed.

Jesus Miranda, administrator, and Jacinto Cordova, administrator of the estate of Guadalupe Cordova, et al., deceased, appellants, vs. Chas. Goldman and Leo Goldman, appellees; motion for rehearing denied.

T. D. McGlasson, et al., appellants, vs. D. A. Farrell, appellee; motion for rehearing denied.

The Republican Publishing Co. appellant, vs. Wm. H. Gill, appellee; decision of lower court affirmed.

Gao W. Bryan, appellant, vs. Cochise county, appellee; motion for rehearing denied.

Gao H. Pitts, appellant, vs. The Mayor and Common Council City of Tombstone, motion to dismiss denied.

T. F. Miller, appellant, vs. John A. Webb, appellee; decision of lower court affirmed.

Nathaniel Sharp, appellant, vs. W. L. George, J. T. Priest and W. A. Kimball, Supervisors, et al., appellees; decision of lower court affirmed.

H. Ohnck, appellant, vs. M. H. Sherman, appellee; motion for rehearing denied.

H. W. Ryder, appellant, vs. Henry Rixson, appellee; motion for rehearing denied.

Salt River Valley Mining Co., appellants, vs. Norton et al., appellees; motion for rehearing denied.

Northwestern National Bank, appellant, vs. B. M. Freeman et al., appellees; motion for rehearing denied. Notice of appeal to U. S. Supreme Court given.

Saverano, appellant, vs. Welch, appellee; motion to set aside submitted.

It was ordered that an adjourned term of the Supreme Court be held at Prescott on June 22nd and at Phoenix on October 1st next.

The following decisions were handed down:

John Scruffe & Co., appellants, vs. P. W. Smith, appellee. Decision of lower court reversed.

C. A. Stevens, et al., appellants, vs. Abbie E. Wadleigh, appellee. Decision of lower court affirmed.

Michael J. Sullivan, appellant, vs. Wm. P. Woods, et al., appellees. Decision of lower court affirmed. Chief Justice Barker dissenting.

Juan P. Charoulour, appellant, vs. Pedro Charoulour, appellee. Decision of lower court reversed. Chief Justice Barker dissenting.

Juana Walker vs. W. H. Walker et al. Decision of lower court affirmed. Chief Justice Barker dissenting.

J. B. Alexander, adm. estate H. N. Alexander, deceased, vs. L. C. Hughes, Governor. An order was made substituting B. J. Franklin, Governor, as appellee.

## A SENSATION.

Three Hundred Catholics to Join the Methodists. ARE DISSATISFIED.

The Infidelity of the Pope and Transubstantiation No Longer Believed.

CLEVELAND, Ohio, May 5.—A profound sensation has arisen in the church of the immaculate heart of the Blessed Virgin Mary, (Independent Catholics) organized in this city about three years ago, and presided over by Father A. Kolaszewski. Father Kolaszewski and his three thousand Polish parishioners desire to ally themselves with the Methodist Episcopal church, it is said. Chaplain McCabe, of the M. E. conference, said: "They do not believe in the infidelity of the Pope and transubstantiation any longer." Father Kolaszewski refused to discuss the subject.

## MILWAUKEE STRIKE.

The Street Car Men Remain Out Today.

MILWAUKEE, May 5.—The Federated Trades Council has not, as reported, ordered a sympathetic strike. Resolutions promising moral and financial support were adopted. A committee was appointed to protest to the council against the officiousness of the police in helping to run cars and collecting fares. This morning the street car lines were tied up much more closely than at the same hour yesterday. The electrical workers in the employ of the railway struck this morning but the company officials managed to keep the plant in operation.

Not a man returned to work at noon the hour fixed by the strike committee when all employees were to report for duty or be discharged. Women were at headquarters with children in their arms and jaded the men running the cars.

MILWAUKEE, May 5.—A car was stoned by strikers this afternoon, a passenger and a policeman receiving injuries. Stones and other obstructions are being placed on the tracks in the suburbs.

## AN INHUMAN HUSBAND.

Kills His Wife and Infant Child and Suicides.

CANAN, Conn., May 4.—Edwin Plant of Canaan, three miles from here, today shot and instantly killed his wife Ada, and then fired a bullet into the breast of his two-week old child killing it instantly. Plant fled to the swamp near the Karkaput river. After a lively exchange of shots with his pursuers Plant killed himself. He was married April 16 and the same day his wife gave birth to an infant.

## FAMOUS SUIT ENDED.

A Decision for the Insurance Company.

MINNEAPOLIS, May 5.—Judge Balden today directed a verdict for the Security Mutual Life Insurance Company in the famous suit of Mrs. Laura Perkins to collect insurance on the life of Mrs. Lola Hawkins, who died as a result of a lamp accident. Mrs. Perkins was arrested charged with the murder of Mrs. Hawkins, but the grand jury refused to indict her. The verdict was ordered on grounds of falsification on application for policy.

## BIG GOLD SHIPMENT.

A Million and a Half to Go Out Tomorrow.

NEW YORK, May 4.—Haidelbach, Ickelheimer & Co. expect to ship \$1,000,000 in gold bars tomorrow.

## The Sunday School Association.